UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,114	07/25/2003	Peter Paul Zilla	P-8794.05 Continutation 2 3869	
JAMES H. AC	7590 08/08/2007 KIFY	EXAMINER		
Medtronic, Inc	•	WILLSE, DAVID H		
710 Medtronic Parkway N.E. Minneapolis, MN 55432			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· · · · · · · ·		3738		
				_
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Refore the Filing of an Appeal Brief

		lw
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/627,114	ZILLA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Dave Willse	3738	

	Before the riling of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Dave Willse	3738					
-	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE	REPLY FILED July 18, 2007, FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.						
	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailir (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of se appeal. Since				
	NDMENTS							
3. [⊠	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NC		ecause				
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for				
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	•				
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1							
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	):	Carete Clad aurandura					
6. 🗌	non-allowable claim(s).							
7. 🛚	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of				
	Claim(s) allowed:							
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 71-87.							
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·						
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and				
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
	The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:				
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	2					
, J. L			D2//-					
	·	2	Deve Willse Primary Examiner					
			A -4   I i4, 2720					

Art Unit: 3738

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Proposed claim 71 does appear to define over Alt, US 2004/0039438 A1, but in view of the fact that interconnected pores in the general shape of spheres were known in the art and that concentration gradients drive and maintain diffusion after implantation (as noted in the final Office action of May 18, 2007, at page 4), further consideration of the prior art is necessary.